y 1 m 7 1956

MEMORAHDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Support)

SUBJECT :

Management Staff Recommendations Concerning Clerical

Training

25X1A9A

REFERENCE:

Mr. Hemograndum to Deputy Director (Support), "Report of Survey of Clerical Training Program," dated 2 August 1956

- 1. With two exceptions, the Office of Training concurs in the findings and recommendations concerning clerical training activities contained in the referenced report. We do not concer in recommendations h and g, paragraph 5. quoted as follows:
  - "b. The Clerical Refresher Courses be offered from September to June only."
  - "c. Two Instructor positions and coiling be deleted from the present staff strength of the Glerical Training Unit, Basic School. Offi."
- 2. In the view of the Office of Training, recommendation b is underlike able for the following reasons:
  - a. It proposes a reduction in program services without examination of program need. The proposal is based on an incomplete analysis of instructor utilization, and passes over the key question of Agency needs for clarical refresher training.
  - b. Clarical training, like other forms of training, is a service, and it is particularly true of a service provided for students in oldrical grades that it must be available at the convenience of the using components. The figures on enrollment in Clerical Refresher Training for FI 1956 are attacked as Tab A. They indicate that the demand for Clerical Refresher Training is relatively steady throughout the year, averaging 55 students per course. During FY 1956 the three months proposed for deletion saw a total of 154 students in this type of training; in other words, no significant seasonal decline in enrollment takes place during these three months.

- c. While the staff study avoids the question of mod for refresher training, it should be observed that these meds are real and continuing. They have increased at a rate of about 10% a year during the past three years. In most cases, students are assigned by their components to Clerical Refrecher Training to meet specific and immediate meeds existing in their office situations. These meeds cannot be postponed conveniently except at the expense of effice or individual interests. Furthermore, classroom especity will not parmit making up fully a three months' deficit.
- d. Staff espabilities and other factors, notably classroom space, are relatively fixed. It would not be possible to utilize effectively the classroom space allocated to Clerical Refresher training for other purposes during the period when the Clarical Refresher instructors, if ressigned to work with uncleared personnel, would have to teach temporerily elsewhere.
- e. The one hasis for this recommendation, in fact, is the judgment expressed in recommendation g, that two positions should be deleted from the Glorical Training faculty. The analysis proposes, in effect, that by "berrowing" two instructors from one part of the Clerical Training faculty for 25% of the year, a saving of two instructors can be made, without other loss in production, for 100% of the year. To test the saundness of this proposition, on which rests seem the limited case made out for recommendation b, we must examine recommendation g.
- 3. The Office of Training eagment emour in the recommendation to rectace by two the T/O strength of the Cherical Training faculty, for the following reasons:
  - a. The Clarical Induction program sould not be run satisfactorily with two instructors. The proposal centers on the unit of the Clarical Training faculty dealing with uncleared and/or unqualified clarical 200's. This unit, designated Clarical Induction, now consists of a principal instructor, these other instructors, and a clarical assistant. The entire purpose of this training is to build up skills of clarical recruits to the minimum Agency standards. The workload fluctuates directly with the masters of new 200's, and inversely with the level of their qualifications.

    Under a fluxible procedure geared to individual students' needs, students remain in this training stage for periods verying from one to several weeks, and receive instruction in up to seven subjects. The total number of students handled by Clarical Induction during FI 1956 was

25X9

# Approved For Release 2002/08/22 CIA-RDP61-00274A000400230015-6

The proposed reduction to a staff of three, presumily a senior instructor, a journeymon instructor, and e elected assistant, would be wholly inedequate to handle the lead of trainess aren dering most periods of lower desard, which generally occur between 15 September and 19 June. Tab B shows the majours entering Clarical Industion each week during the year. Representing imposing statement only, these are turnover figures. The teaching load, however, is represented by the total musber on hand during any one week. Typical on-hand figures are presented in Tab C. Two instructors and a clorical assistant could handle adequately the numbers encountered, for example, during the weeks of 3 January and 7 May. On many other occasions, for example the week of 3 October. help would be required from other clorical training activities. Little or no advance notice could be given. One, or even two, instructors would have to be pulled out. interrupting other scheduled clerical training activities. It would, in short, be impossible under the proposed staff out to conduct on schedule clarical training services of the kind now being provided.

- b. A staff of two instructors, even aided by two instructors temperarily recognized from the Clarical Hafresher activity, would be unable to cope with the peak loads. There has been, for instance, a midwinter peak, smaller and shorter in deretion them the summer load, but not taken into account in the recommendation, has to the summer peak, we have had to stretch every facility with the present staff to meet requirements. Tab D indicates the staff assignments, by individuals and courses, during the month of July, 1956, when 160 students on the average, and a maximum of 201, were in Clarical Industion. As many as fifteen class sessions per day were required. Performance of the training function for BOD's calls for us to meet those peaks, as and when they come. The proposed rejection would leave us with no assurance of being able to do so.
- c. The analysis on which the recommendation is based is incomplete. It assumes a 40x60 ratio between class time and related activities outside class. This ratio is arbitrary, and we cannot susport it specifically as it has been applied to Clarical Training. Experience in scheduling clarical instructors and classes tells us that either the ratio is not wholly realistic, or that the staff study has made an insufficient calculation of the 40% class time base. We are inclined to believe that the base has been set up extramely conservatively, reflecting considerably less than the actual time spent by instructors in first-hand classroom contact with the students. "Break" time, and time assumting to several hours a week for each

instructor before and after classes is spent in what amounts to individualized instruction. Such time is properly a part of the classroom base time, but it has not been accounted for in the analysis. The 40:60 division of time is at best a rule of thumb, and should be interpreted and applied in the light of actual practice.

- d. The analysis of work leads for the Clerical Training faculty as a whole has taken no account of non-poutine and special instruction which is given during the periods of lessened demand in the major courses. These are considerable in the aggregate; six such special activities are listed in Tab E. Two other additions are significant. One is a testing program, requiring 5 to 15 staff hours per week, recently assigned to Clerical Induction (Tab F). This was briefly noted in the analysis, but was given insufficient weight as a job requirement. The second is skill testing for on-duty explayers, given twice nouthly by Clerical Refresher. Time amounting to 10g instructor hours per month, or approximately 2g hours per week, is required.
- e. We account has been taken in the analysis of the supervisory responsibilities of three senior imptructors reporting to the Chief, Clerical Training. The scattered layout of clerical training activities, in Alcott Hall and Quarters Bye, and intermittently in \_\_\_\_\_ forces delegation of supervisory responsibility by the Chief.

25X1

f. The recommendation impores the factor of quality. First. we have to do a first-class job of clarical training if it is to be done at all. In contrast with the business college, where the student has made his own financial countiment, and can work well or possely with no resulting effect on the school. we are giving training in a situation where the Agency is committed to the student, has a simable investment in him, and must make him learn rapidly that he needs to know. In fact, it is to compensate for the inadequacies in high school and buriness college training that the Clerical Induction and Clerical Refresher programs exist. Consequently, we have emphasized in the past the broadening of training for our instructors, of whom not all are ideally qualified. This has meant a considerable securit of time devoted to the training of instructors, semember beyond the 5% level for a period of time. Second, quality of instruction is the reason for our insistence on time for individual instruction and semi-tutorial training for selected cases. Third, the basic clarical shills constitute a routine field of instruction, in which the individual contects with students, the constant re-examination of teaching notheds, and the exploitation of opportunities for

non-reutine instruction offer the only possible means for keeping the teaching staff on their tess. Reduction of the staff to the point where these non-reutine teaching exercises would be precluded could only result in a lower overall level of teaching effectiveness.

A. Consission. For these reasons, the Office of Training does not concer either in cortailment of the Clerical Refresher Program, or in reduction of the Clerical Training staff by two persons. This is not to say that no changes are possible. If we were able to put the clerical training operation into one building, so that all three phases of the program were physically close, it would be possible to increase the interchange of instructors and we have faid out plans whereby without reduction of training effectiveness, the program could operate at present levels with a tetal of tem people: a chief, seven instructors, and two clerks. Alternatively, reduction of clorical training requirements would permit reduction of personnal. However, present space assignments and present loads, with clerical traineer contex through at a rate of over \_\_\_\_\_ per year, require the present staff of eight instructors, two clerks and a chief.

25X9A2

Director of Training

25X1A9A

Attachmente:

TAB A - Clerical Refresher Training Envollment, FY 1956

TAB B - Clerical Industion Training Engillment, FY 1956

TAB C - Clerical Induction Training, Typical Serollments, FY 1956

TAB D - Clerical Induction Fraining, Instructor Work Lond

TAB E - Special Training Activities, FY 1996

TAB F - Instructor Hours Required for Testing

#### Distributions

Orig. and 1 - Addressee DTR

2 - CASAR DD/S

1 - 6/11/13/11 D/Pers

A - CAT/IS/IR Mgt/S

 $\frac{1-D/RR}{Ohrono}$ 

25X1A9A OTR/IS lar (3 October 1956)

### TAB A

## CLERICAL BAFRESHER TRAINING ENGLINEER, PR 1956

Course Basher	Dates	Muskur of Treitous
51	5 July - 29 July 1955	59
52	8 August - 2 September 1955	44
53	12 September - 7 October 1955	56
<b>%</b>	17 October - 10 November 1955	58
55	21 Movember - 16 Decomber 1955	66
56	9 January - 3 February 1956	48
57	20 February - 16 Harch 1956	61
58	26 March - 20 April 1956	58
<b>50</b>	30 April - 25 May 1956	46
60	4 June - 29 June 1956	4

## Attendance by Compensate:

DOSt	186
PDT:	169
mp:	191
Not Assignois	1
	547

# SECRET

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

TAB D

## CLERICAL INDUCTION TRAINING, PEAK INSTRUCTOR WORK LOAD

	DATE	STRUCKERY POPULATION	DETERMINE LAND
25X1	2 July 1956	141	(substitute) - aborthand, transcription, typing 2 typing, 3 geography shorthand, transcription, 2 filing leave
	9 July 1956	199	(substitute) - shorthand, transcription, typing (substitute) - typing typing, 3 geography 2 typing, shorthand, transcription (substitute) - geography 2 filing, (sudit typing)
*	16 July 1956	5Œ.	(substitute) - shorthand, transcription, typing (substitute) - typing 2 geography shorthand, transcription, typing, filing (substitute) - geography typing, filing
÷	23 July 1996	152	(substitute) - geography typing, geography 2 shorthand, 2 transcription, typing (audit shorthand and geography) 2 typing, filing
	30 July 1956	107	(substitute) - geography Chicago Gonferences 2 shorthand, 2 transcription, typing, (audit geography) (audit filing, geography) 2 typing, filing





Approved For Release 2002/08/22: CIA-RDP61-00274A000100230015-6

TAD E

### SPECIAL TRAINING ACTIVITIES, FT 1956

- I. A non-elerical basic typewriting course was conducted. Twenty-seven trainses completed this eight-week, 30-hour course which was scheduled outside of regular working hours.
- 2. <u>English Review</u>, a special English course, was presented for the Geography Division of CER. This class met three times a week for one hour from 31 October through 9 December 1955.
- 3. A special typewriting training course for translators from FID/00 was conducted at Duilding. The course run from 7 February through 23 March 1956; the class not one hour a day, four times a week. Mineteen persons completed this course.
  - 4. Principles of <u>Mathematics</u> was given to personnel from CRR and CRR. This class not for two hours each week from 28 February through 3 March 1956. Eleven people completed the course.
  - 5. Clerical Training staff members participated in special training activities such as:

25X1A9A	Mrs. presents a Lecture on correspondence
25X1A9A	procedures in each running of the Administrative Procedures course.
25X1A9A	Frs. participated in the Security Office's training progress for secretaries.
25X1A9A	Workshop conducted by the Office of Security.
4 14	

6. Nes. vorked on a special project for training typists to use a Russian keyboard typewriter.



TAB F

### INSTRUCTOR HOURS REQUIRED FOR TESTING

I. Haximum and Minimum Rumber of Instructor Hours Required Weekly for ECO Testing in Typewriting and Shorthand, Administered by Clerical Induction Trainings

	Minimum House Per Week	Maximum Hours For Week
Preliminary Properation and Testing Time (Administration of tests in Shorthand and Typewriting)	5	7
Checking, Verifying, and Recording Tests (After preliminary grading by IAS assigness)	1 6	9

Scheduling problems of CP/IAS require a minimum of two sate of tests per week, each set covering shorthand and typewriting. The meximum encountered to date has been three sets of tests.

Verification time requires at the minimum one-half hour of an instructor's time for each set of tests or one hour per week. At the maximum, one-half to three-fourths of an hour frem each of the five members of the Clerical Induction staff are required, estimated at three hours in total, for each of the three sets of tests. Maximum verification time will therefore run about nine instructor hours per week, and perhaps a little over. The average will finetuate between six and sixteen hours.

II. Average Sumber of Instructor House Required for Twice-Henthly Skill Testing in Typewriting and Shorthand for On-Duty Employees, Administered by Glarical Refresher Training:

House per Buth

Proliminary Preparation and Testing Time (Administration of tests in Shorthand and Typesmitting)	56
Checking, Verifying, and Recording Tests (All performed by Instructors)	102

Members to be tested are more nearly steady from month to month than in Induction Training; hence there will be little variation from this average.

